


Applicant: Henry H. Jenkins

Examiner: O. Flores-Sanchez  
Art Unit: 3724

Serial No: 09/580,412

Filed: May 30, 2000

For: STEEL RULE DIE AND STEEL RULE



9123 Chillicothe Road  
Kirtland, Ohio 44094  
October 26, 2001

RECEIVED  
DEC 31 2001  
TO 3100 MAIL ROOM

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

#### AMENDMENT

This amendment is in response to the office action dated August 2, 2001. The application as filed contained claims 1-25. These claims were resubmitted to the examiner for further consideration in applicant's amendment filed May 23, 2001. These claims have been further rejected by the office in the action dated August 2, 2001 on different grounds. The claims 1-25 are again being resubmitted for further consideration by the examiner in view of the accompanying remarks.

#### REMARKS

The examiner rejected claims 1 and 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brayton et. al. (U.S. 5,943,935) in view of A. Dewes (U.S. 171,270). The examiner maintains that Brayton shows the invention substantially as claimed but does not show each steel rule die as having first and second end portions